Docket No: 915-001.088 Serial No.:10/583,057

## **REMARKS**

This Amendment in an RCE is filed in response to the final Office Action of January 6, 2009 in which claims 1-10 were rejected.

Applicants have amended the claims to overcome the objections made by the Examiner. With these amendments "the base part" in this application is clearly defined to be a different part of the mobile phone than the base part in prior art document U.S. 5,541,813 (Satoh et al). Withdrawal of the novelty rejection is requested.

Regarding the obviousness rejection of claim 5, it depends from independent claim 3 and is patentable for at least the same reasons given above in connection with applicant overcoming the novelty rejection of claim 3. Withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claim 5 is requested.

The objections and rejections of the Office Action of January 6, 2009, having been obviated by amendment or shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested and passage of claims 1-10 to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Registration # 31,391

FJM/mo
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 261-1234